

‘PICK’ ASSESSMENT

Members use a ‘PICK’ assessment to identify what method each subject matter should be scrutinised by.

Each item is scored from 0 - 2.

No = 0 Somewhat = 1 Yes = 2

The items that score the highest are prioritised for review by a dedicated Task and Finish Group:

Score: 21 – 30 = Task and Finish Group
 Score: 11 – 20 = Evidence Panel
 Score: 0 – 10 = Other e.g. Report, Site Visit etc.

This will help Rutland in prioritising scrutiny resources for key pieces of work to maximise effectiveness, rather than spreading efforts too thin.

Public Interest	Score
• The topic is of concern to the public	2
• It is a ‘high profile’ topic for specific local communities	2
• This is an area where a lot of complaints are received and/or bad press	1
• The topic has been identified by members/officers as a key citizen issue	2
Subtotal	7

Impact	Score
• The Scrutiny will lead to improvements for the people of Rutland	2
• The Scrutiny will lead to increased value for money	0
• This could make a big difference to the way services are delivered	0
• This could make a big difference to the way resources are used	0
Subtotal	2

Council Performance	Score
• The topic does support the achievement of the corporate priorities	2
• The Council and/or other organisations are not performing well in this area	0
• Do we understand why our performance is poor compared to others?	0
• We are performing well, but spending too much of our resources in this area	0
Subtotal	2

Keeping in Context	Score
• There is new government guidance or legislation that will require a significant change to services and Scrutiny can positively influence change	0
• Has the issue been raised by the external auditor in the annual letter?	0
• There are inspections planned in the near future	2
Subtotal	2

TOTAL	13
--------------	-----------